

**Galina N. Stroeve** – Candidate of Sociology, associate professor, the economic theory and national economy chair, the Pacific State University (136, Tikhookeanskaya Str., Khabarovsk, 680035, Russia). E-mail: [gstro@mail.ru](mailto:gstro@mail.ru)

## Development of the cultural sphere in strategic planning documents for the regions of the Far-Eastern federal district

*At the legislative level, it is established that the culture of Russia, as well as natural resources, is the property of the country and an important resource for the socio-economic development of all its territories and improving the quality of life of the population. Improving the management process and strategic planning of development of the sphere of culture is due to the need for more efficient use of public finances and the level of investment attractiveness of the industry. Strategic planning tools play an important role in optimizing the process of managing of the sphere of culture. The analysis of state programs and strategies sections of the socio-economic development of the eleven regions of FEFD on the development of the sphere of culture, revealed the structural and methodological problems of the development of regional strategic planning documents in the sphere of culture. The main problems include: inconsistency of the structure and content of strategies and programs with methodical instructions (recommendations) and the procedure for their development; lack of a unified methodological approach to understanding the essence and basic components of strategies and programs; lack of a unified approach to the number, composition and presentation of target indicators; insufficient level of interconnection of regional strategic planning documents. A serious problem in the development of strategies and programs is the lack of uniform requirements for indicators for assessing the implementation of strategic goals and objectives, measures of government programs, as well as an interconnected system for assessing strategic planning documents developed at different levels of management. Differences in the structural elements and inconsistencies with the stated criteria are noted in the reviewed documents of all FEFD regions. The main reason for the discrepancy – is the lack of a unified methodology for the development of both regional strategies and government programs.*

**Keywords:** region, cultural sphere, strategic planning, socio-economic development strategy, industry development strategy, state program.

### References:

1. Agoshkov A. V., Moskalenko G. K. Development of Russian legislation on culture (general analysis) *Voprosy kul'turologii* [Issues of Cultural Studies], 2011, no.11, pp. 70–75. (In Russian).
2. Ataeva A. G., Oreshnikov V. V. Problems of socio-economic strategic planning in Privolzhsky Federal District regions *Regional'nyye issledovaniya* [Regional studies], 2019, no. 3 (65). C.63–75. DOI: 10.5922/1994-5280-2019-3-6 (In Russian).
3. Gorelova I. V. Evaluation of regional development strategies *Nauchnyj vestnik Volgogradskogo filiala RANHiGS. Seriya: Ekonomika* [Scientific Bulletin of the Volgograd branch of the RANepa. Series: Economics], 2017, no. 1-2, pp. 33–40. (In Russian).
4. Kreydenko T. F. Approaches to the Formation of a System of Indicators of Regional State Programs in the System of Strategic Planning of the Russian Federation. Printsipy strategicheskogo planirovaniya: metodologiya i praktika: materialy Vseros. nauch.-prakt. konf. Soveta po izucheniyu proizvoditelnykh sil VAVT Minekonomrazvitiya Rossii. Vserossiyskaya akademiya vneshney trgovli [Principles of Strategic Planning: Methodology and Practice. Materials of the All-Russian Scientific and Practical Conference of the Council for the Study of the Productive Forces of the Higher High-Tech Department of the Ministry of Economic Development of Russia. All-Russian Academy of Foreign Trade Ministry of Economic Development of Russia]. Moscow, Minekonomrazvitiya Rossii Publ., 2018, pp. 68–70.
5. Lipina S. A., Sorokina N. Yu., Belyaevskaya-Plotnik L. A., Bocharova L. K. Development of Common Approaches to the System of Indicators for Strategic Planning Documents of Russian Regions *Regionalnaya ekonomika. Yug Ros-sii* [Regional Economy. The South of Russia], 2019, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 56–65. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15688/>

---

re.volsu.2019.1.5 (in Russian).

6. Naiden S. N. Implementation of the state policy in the Far East *Vlast' i upravleniye na Vostoke Rossii* [Power and Administration in the East of Russia], 2020, No. 4 (93), Pp. 24–36. DOI 10.22394/1818-4049-2020-93-4-24-36 (In Russian).

7. Sarycheva P. V. Influence of culture sphere on socioeconomic development of re-

gion *Sotsial'noekonomicheskiye yavleniya i protsessy* [Social-Economic Phenomena and Processes], 2010, no. 6 (022), pp. 158–161. (In Russian).

8. Fedotova N. G. The sphere of culture as a strategic resource of the region *Vestnik Novgorodskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta* [Vestnik of Novgorod state university], 2011, no.63, pp. 17–21. (In Russian).

---

#### **Reference to the article**

---

Stroeveva G. N. Development of the cultural sphere in strategic planning documents for the regions of the Far-Eastern federal district // *Power and Administration in the East of Russia*. 2021. No. 1 (94). Pp. 45–56. DOI 10.22394/1818-4049-2021-94-1-45-56

---